arXiv:1511.00520v1 [math.KT] 2 Nov 2015

Scissor Congruence and Suslin reciprocity law

Daniil Rudenko

November 3, 2015

Abstract

We prove the strong Suslin reciprocity law conjectured by Alexander Goncharov and describe it's corollaries for the theory of scissor congruence of polyhedra in hyperbolic space. The proof is based on the study of Goncharov conjectural description of certain rational motivic cohomology groups of a field. Our main result is a homotopy invariance theorem for these groups.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank S. Bloch, A. Suslin and A. Goncharov for multiple invaluable discussions and suggestions.

1 Introduction

Let F be an arbitrary field. For each pair of integer indices p, q one can define motivic cohomology of F with rational coefficients $H^{p,q}_{\mathcal{M}}(F, \mathbb{Q})$. These groups are functorial with respect to inclusions and finite extensions of fields. For every discrete valuation of F the corresponding residue maps could be defined. One of the most fundamental properties, which motivic cohomology satisfy, is the *homotopy invariance property*, which can be formulated via the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{p,q}_{\mathcal{M}}(F, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^{p,q}_{\mathcal{M}}(F(t), \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\oplus \partial_P} \bigoplus_{P \neq \infty} H^{p-1,q-1}_{\mathcal{M}}(F_P, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here the summation goes over all points of projective line $\mathbb{P}^1_F$, F_P— stands for the residue field in point P, and ∂_P — is the residue map on motivic cohomology. Besides that, motivic cohomology satisfies the following *Suslin reciprocity law*: for every smooth curve $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ the *full residue* map

$$Res\colon H^{p,q}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^{p-1,q-1}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

which is defined as a sum of local residue maps ∂_P over all points of $\mathcal{X}$, is identically zero.

There exist several general definitions of motivic cohomology groups ([6]), but only in few particular cases explicit presentations of these groups via generators and relations are known. In all these cases such presentations lead to connections between motivic cohomology groups of a field and more classical objects, like symbols in algebraic number theory or scissor congruence invariants of hyperbolic polytopes. In view of these connections general properties of motivic cohomology, like Suslin reciprocity law, manifest themselves in nontrivial theorems

of algebraic number theory (for instance, Gauss reciprocity law), algebraic geometry (Weil reciprocity law) or hyperbolic geometry (computation of the cokernel of Dehn invariant).

Explicit description of motivic cohomology, mentioned above, is known in two cases. Firstly, one can show that for $p = q = n > 0$ motivic cohomology groups of a field F coincide with Milnor $K-$theory groups of a field F and can be expressed via generators and relations in the following way:

$$H^{n,n}_{\mathcal{M}}(F, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \frac{\bigwedge^n F^*}{S},$$

where F^* is the multiplicative group of a field F and by S we mean the subgroup, generated by so-called *Steinberg elements* $t_1 \wedge t_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge t_n$, for which $t_1 + t_2 = 1$. To get an idea on where the connections with number theory and algebraic geometry described above come from, notice that the Hilbert symbol of two rational numbers, corresponding to some prime, is a function on $H^{2,2}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong K^M_2(\mathbb{Q})$, and Weil symbol of two functions on a smooth curve $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ in some point is a functional on $H^{2,2}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Q})$.

Next, let us describe the second case, where there is known an explicit definition of motivic cohomology groups of a field. In [7] Suslin showed that for $p = 1, q = 2$ the motivic cohomology group coincides with so-called *Bloch group*. To define the latter, consider the subgroup $R_2(F)$ of the free over all points of projective line $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{P}^1(F)]$, generated by elements

$$\{0\}_2, \{\infty\}_2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{5} (-1)^i \{r(x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, x_5)\}_2,$$

where $x_1, \ldots, x_5$ — are points of $\mathbb{P}^1(F)$, $r(a, b, c, d) = \dfrac{(a-b)(c-d)}{(c-b)(a-d)}$ is the four points cross-ratio and symbol $\{x\}_2$ is used to denote the generator of $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{P}^1(F)]$, corresponding to the point $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(F)$. The group $B_2(F)$ is defined as a following factor:

$$B_2(F) := \frac{\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{P}^1(F)]}{R_2(F)}.$$

It is easy to show that the map $\delta_2 \colon \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{P}^1(F)] \longrightarrow F^* \wedge F^*$, taking the generator $\{x\}_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{P}^1(F)]$ to the Steinberg element $x \wedge (1-x)$, vanishes on $R_2(F)$. The Bloch group of F, which, according to Suslin's theorem, coincides with the motivic cohomology group $H^{1,2}_{\mathcal{M}}(F, \mathbb{Q})$, is the kernel of the map

$$\delta_2 \colon B_2(F) \longrightarrow F^* \wedge F^*.$$

Alexander Goncharov has suggested hypothetical description of motivic cohomology groups of arbitrary weight, see [4]. In the sequel we will define the group $H^{n-1,n}_{\mathcal{G}}(F, \mathbb{Q})$, which, according to Goncharov conjectures, should coincide with $H^{n-1,n}_{\mathcal{M}}(F, \mathbb{Q})$. We will show that these groups satisfy the homotopy invariance property and Suslin reciprocity law. As a first application we prove the *strong Suslin reciprocity law*, conjectured by Goncharov in [5]. As the other application of our results we prove that each three meromorphic functions on a smooth curve define a scissor congruence class of hyperbolic polytope with interesting properties.

2 Main results and motivation

Definition 2.1. *We will denote by $H_{\mathcal{G}}^{n-1,n}(F,\mathbb{Q})$ the kernel of the map*

$$B_2(F)\otimes_a \bigwedge^{n-2} F^* \xrightarrow{\delta_n} \bigwedge^{n} F^*.$$

Here $B_2(F)\otimes_a \bigwedge^{n-2} F^*$ is the factor of the group $B_2(F)\otimes \bigwedge^{n-2} F^*$ by a subgroup, generated by elements $\{x\}_2\otimes x\wedge x_1\wedge\ldots\wedge x_{n-3}$ for some $x, x_1,\ldots,x_{n-3}\in F$, and map δ_n is defined by the formula

$$\delta_n(\{x\}_2\otimes x_1\wedge\ldots\wedge x_{n-2}) = x\wedge(1-x)\wedge x_1\wedge\ldots\wedge x_{n-2}.$$

Note that the cokernel of δ_n coincides with the Milnor $K-$theory group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^{n,n}(F,\mathbb{Q})$.

Below we will explain the motivation behind this definition. In [2] Beilinson and Deligne suggested that for arbitrary field F there should exist a Lie coalgebra $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(F)$, graded in degrees $1,2,\ldots$, such that

$$H^p_{[q]}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(F)) = H_M^{p,q}(F,\mathbb{Q}).$$

Here by symbol $H^p_{[q]}$ we mean the $p-$cohomology in grading j. If such Lie coalgebra exists, than its 1-component should coincide with the group F^*, and 2-component — with the group $B_2(F)$, defined in the previous section. The map δ_2 is the coproduct. Alexander Goncharov suggested similar description of coalgebra $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(F)$ in degree 3. According to it, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(F)_3$ coinsides with the group $B_3(F)$, which is a factor of the free group on all points of the projective line over F over some subgroup R_3, describing functional equations for trilogarithm:

$$B_3(F) := \frac{\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{P}^1(F)]}{R_3(F)}.$$

The coproduct is given by the map γ:

$$\gamma\colon B_3(F)\longrightarrow B_2(F)\otimes F^*,$$

defined on the generators $\delta(\{x\}_3)$ of $B_3(F)$ by formula $\delta(\{x\}_3) = \{x\}_2\otimes x$. Consider the last three terms of the cochain complex for Lie coalgebra $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(F)$ in degree n:

$$B_3(F)\otimes \bigwedge^{n-3} F^* \xrightarrow{\gamma_n} B_2(F)\otimes \bigwedge^{n-2} F^* \xrightarrow{\delta_n} \bigwedge^{n} F^*.$$

Obviously, its first cohomology group coincides with $H_{\mathcal{G}}^{n-1,n}(F,\mathbb{Q})$, defined above. According to the Goncharov conjectures it should coincide with $H_{\mathcal{M}}^{n-1,n}(F,\mathbb{Q})$.

Definition 2.2. *Consider a field L with discrete valuation ν and residue field $\overline{L}$. Let us define the residue map*

$$\partial_\nu\colon B_2(L)\otimes_a \bigwedge^{n-2} L^* \longrightarrow B_2(\overline{L})\otimes_a \bigwedge^{n-3} \overline{L}^*$$

on element $X = \{x\}_2\otimes x_1\wedge\ldots\wedge x_{n-2}$ according to the following rules: if $\nu(x)\neq 0$, we put $\partial(X)=0$; if all the valuations of x_i vanish, we also put $\partial(X)=0$; finally, if $\nu(x_1)=1,\nu(x_2)=0,\ldots,\nu(x_{n-2})=0$, let

$$\partial(X) = \{\overline{x}\}_2\otimes\overline{x_2}\wedge\ldots\wedge\overline{x_{n-2}}.$$

On the other elements of $B_2(L)\otimes_a \bigwedge^{n-2} L^*$ *residue is extended by skew-linearity. Similarly we define the map*

$$\partial_\nu\colon \bigwedge^{n} L^* \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{n-1} \overline{L}^*.$$

Finally, we get a chain map ∂_ν*:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_2(L)\otimes_a \bigwedge^{n-2} L^* & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \bigwedge^{n} L^* \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \partial_\nu} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \partial_\nu}\ . \\ B_2(\overline{L})\otimes_a \bigwedge^{n-3} \overline{L}^* & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \bigwedge^{n-1} \overline{L}^* \end{array}$$

It is not hard to see that ∂_ν induces the classical residue map on Milnor $K-$theory. The case, when L is a function field of a smooth projective curve over F, and ν is a discrete valuation, associated with a point P of the curve will be especially important for us. In this case the residue field will be denoted by F_P, and the residue map — by ∂_P.

The following homotopy invariance theorem is our main result:

Theorem 2.3. *For an arbitrary field* F *the following sequence is exact:*

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{n-1,n}_{\mathcal{G}}(F,\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^{n-1,n}_{\mathcal{G}}(F(t),\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\oplus\partial_P} \bigoplus_{P\neq\infty} H^{n-2,n-1}_{\mathcal{G}}(F_P,\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In this theorem the injection is induced by the inclusion of field F *into field* $F(t)$*, and the surjection is a direct sum of the residue maps in all points of* $\mathbb{P}^1_F$ *except the* ∞*.*

The proof of this theorem occupies the rest of the paper. Now we will explain two its corollaries. We start with *the strong Suslin reciprocity law.*

Corollary 2.4. *For a smooth projective curve* $\mathcal{X}$ *over* $\mathbb{C}$ *the full residue chain map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_2(\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X})\otimes_a \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X})^* & \xrightarrow{\delta_3} & \bigwedge^{3} \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X})^* \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle Res} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Res}\ , \\ B_2(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_3} & \mathbb{C}^*\wedge\mathbb{C}^* \end{array}$$

defined as a sum of the maps ∂_P *over all points of the curve* $\mathcal{X}$*, is chain homotopy equivalent to zero. So, there exists a contracting map*

$$h\colon \bigwedge^{3} \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X})^* \longrightarrow B_2(\mathbb{C}),$$

such that $h\circ\delta = Res$ *and* $\delta\circ h = Res$*.*

Proof. For Milnor $K-$theory groups the following exact sequence was proved by Milnor:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{n,n}_{\mathcal{M}}(F,\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^{n,n}_{\mathcal{M}}(F(t),\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\oplus\partial_P} \bigoplus_{P\neq\infty} H^{n-1,n-1}_{\mathcal{M}}(F_P,\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

This exact sequence shows the homotopy invariance of Milnor $K-$theory groups. In [7] Suslin used this sequence to construct the norm map on Milnor $K-$theory of F and used it to prove that for a smooth projective curve $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ the full residue map

$$Res\colon H^{n,n}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X}),\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^{n-1,n-1}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q}),$$

vanishes. Repeating Suslin's argument word by word starting with exact sequence in theorem 2.3 one can show that for a smooth projective curve $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ the full residue map

$$Res\colon H^{n-1,n}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X}),\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^{n-2,n-1}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q}),$$

vanishes. These to results together are equivalent to the fact that the chain map Res is homotopy equivalent to zero, since we are working with vector spaces over $\mathbb{Q}$. From this the existence of the map h with desired properties follows as well. $\square$

To formulate the next corollary, we need to recall basics of the scissor congruence theory for polytopes in the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^3$. The detailed explanation could be found in [3].

Definition 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathbb{H}}^3)$ be the abelian group, generated by symbols $[T]$, corresponding to hyperbolic polytopes T, possibly, with vertices on the boundary sphere of the hyperbolic space. These symbols, called the scissor congruence classes of polytopes, satisfy two types of relations. Firstly, for polytopes T_1 and T_2 with non-overlaping interiors, the class of their union $[T_1 \sqcup T_2]$ equals to the sum of $[T_1]$ and $[T_2]$. Secondly, the class of a polytope is preserved by the hyperbolic isometry.*

To each complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$ one associates a class $[z] \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathbb{H}}^3)$, corresponding to a tetrahedron with vertices on the boundary of $\mathbb{H}^3$ with coordinates $\infty, 0, 1, z$ in the Poincare model.

For each element of $[T] \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathbb{H}}^3)$ its hyperbolic volume $Vol(T) \in \mathbb{R}$ and Dehn invariant $D(T) \in \mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ are correctly defined. The Dehn invariant of a polytope with edges length l_i and corresponding dihedral angles α_i is defined as a sum

$$D(T) = \sum l_i \otimes \alpha_i.$$

Informally, the second corollary of theorem 2.3 claims that to each triple of invertible meromorphic functions on a smooth Riemann surface one can associate a scissor congruence class of a hyperbolic polytope. This correspondence is antisymmetric and poly-linear. Moreover, there exists a closed formula for Dehn invariant of the obtained polytope and the volume of this polytope equals to the integral over the Riemann surface of some explicitly defined differential form. Desire to prove that such a construction exists was the primary motivation of the author's interest to the topic.

Let us consider the projection $p\colon \mathbb{C}^* \wedge \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, defined by the formula $p(z \wedge w) = z \wedge w - \overline{z} \wedge \overline{w}$. A simple check shows that $D([z]) = p(z \wedge (1-z))$.

Corollary 2.6. *For a smooth projective curve $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ there exists a linear map*

$$H\colon \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X})^* \wedge \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X})^* \wedge \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X})^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$$

satisfying the following properties:

1. **[Dehn invariant]** $D \circ H = \sum\limits_{P \in \mathcal{X}} p \circ \partial_P$,

2. $H(f \wedge (1-f) \wedge g) = \sum\limits_{P \in \mathcal{X}} ord_P(g) \cdot [f(P)]$,

3. **[Volume]** $Vol \circ H(f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C})} r_2(f_1, f_2, f_3)$, *where*

$$r_2(f_1, f_2, f_3) = Alt_3 \left(\frac{1}{6} \log|f_1| \, d\log|f_2| \wedge d\log|f_3| - \frac{1}{2} \log|f_1| \, darg(f_2) \wedge darg(f_3) \right).$$

Proof. By corollary 2.4 there exists a map

$$h\colon \bigwedge^3 \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X})^* \longrightarrow B_2(\mathbb{C}),$$

such that $h \circ \delta = Res$ and $\delta \circ h = Res$. Let $p\colon B_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$ be defined on generators by the formula $p(\{z\}_2) = [z]$. It satisfies the identity

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} (-1)^i p\left(\{r(x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, x_5)\}_2\right) = 0,$$

because the union of some pair of polytopes $p\left(\{r(x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, x_5)\}_2\right)$ coincides with the union of the three remaining. Let's define the map H as a composition $p \circ h$. By the properties of map h, formulated in the corollary 2.4, H is skew-linear and satisfies properties **1** and **2**. The property **3** follows from the fact that the volume of the polytope $[z]$ is proportional to the $Bloch - Wigner$ dilogarithm of z and theorem 6.10 in [5]. □

3 Preparation for the proof of theorem 2.3.

First we will prove an important technical statement about the group $B(F(t))$. Unfortunately, we have not yet found an independent prove of these results, so we have to use a result of A. Suslin from [7]. Let's fix some notation.

Throughout the paper, we call a polynomial "irreducible" if it is either a constant or a monic irreducible polynomial. We start with defining the notion of a degree extending that for a polynomial. Classically, degree is a partial ordering $\succ$ on the set of all irreducible polynomials over F. Fix any linear ordering on this set, extending the partial ordering, given by the degree. We will call an element

$$a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge a_n \in \bigwedge^n F(t)^*$$

monomial, if all nonconstant a_i are monic irreducible polynomials with $a_1 \succ a_2 \succ \ldots \succ a_n$. It's degree is the degree of a_1. Every element of $\bigwedge^n F(t)^*$ can be presented in the unique way as an integer linear combination of monomial elements. Degree of an element in $\bigwedge^n F(t)^*$ is the maximal degree of it's monomials. We say that an

element of $B(F(t))$ has degree d if its image in $\bigwedge^2 F(t)^*$ has degree d. Subgroup of elements of degree less or equal than d is denoted by $B_d(F(t))$ or simply B_d.

For an irreducible polynomial f of degree $d \geq 1$ and three nonzero polynomials a, b and r of degree less than d such that $f|(ab - r)$ we denote a rational function $\frac{ab}{r}$ by $x_f(a, b)$. We will use the same symbol $x_f(a, b)$ in case when a and b are arbitrary nonzero elements of the field $F[t]/(f)$ meaning by that $\dfrac{\overline{a}\,\overline{b}}{r}$, where $\overline{a}$ and $\overline{b}$ are representatives of the classes a and b of $F[t]/(f)$ having minimal degree. These elements have several properties, which are obvious from the definition:

1. $x_f(a, b) = x_f(b, a)$,

2. $x_f(a, b) = 1$ if $deg(a) + deg(b) < deg(f)$,

3. $\dfrac{x_f(a,b)x_f(ab,c)}{x_f(a,c)x_f(ac,b)} = 1.$

Lemma 3.1. *For $d \geq 1$ $B_d(F(t))$ is generated over $B(F)$ by elements of the form*

$\{x_f(a, b)\}$ with $deg(f) \leq d$ and

$\left\{\dfrac{g}{h}\right\}$ for monic irreducible polynomials g and h with $deg(g) = deg(h) \leq d$.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram with exact raws:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B(F) & \longrightarrow & B(F(t)) & \longrightarrow & B(F(t))/B(F) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F^* \wedge F^* & \longrightarrow & F(t)^* \wedge F(t)^* & \longrightarrow & F(t)^* \wedge F(t)^*/F^* \wedge F^* & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

From [7] it follows that $Ker(B(F) \to F^* \wedge F^*)$ is isomorphic to the $Ker(B(F(t)) \to F(t)^* \wedge F(t)^*)$. By a theorem of Matsumuto,

$$Coker(B(F) \to F^* \wedge F^*) \cong K_2^M(F)$$

and

$$Coker(B(F(t)) \to F(t)^* \wedge F(t)^*) \cong K_2^M(F(t)).$$

Next, it is a result of Milnor that the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_2^M(F) \hookrightarrow K_2^M(F(t)) \stackrel{\oplus\partial_P}{\twoheadrightarrow} \bigoplus_{P \neq \infty} F(P)^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

Applying the Snake Lemma and combining all the quoted results we deduce that the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow B_2(F(t))/B_2(F) \stackrel{\delta}{\hookrightarrow} F(t)^* \wedge F(t)^*/F^* \wedge F^* \stackrel{\oplus\partial_P}{\twoheadrightarrow} \bigoplus_{P \neq \infty} F(P)^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

From this it follows that the lemma will hold if we prove that $Ker(\oplus\partial_P)$ is generated by elements of the form $x_f(a, b) \wedge (1 - x_f(a, b))$ and $\frac{g}{h} \wedge (1 - \frac{g}{h})$. We use induction on d.

Suppose that the statement is proved for $d-1$ (for $d=1$ the statement will be proved unconditionally). Let X be an arbitrary element in $Ker(\oplus\partial_P)$. It can be presented as a sum of monomials. We will show that after subtracting some number of elements $\delta_2\{x_f(a,b)\}$ with $deg(f)=d$ and $\delta_2\left\{\frac{g}{h}\right\}$ with $deg(g)=deg(h)=d$, we get an element of degree less or equal than $d-1$, to which the induction hypothesis might be applied.

First, for all monomials in $\delta_2(X)$ of the form $A\wedge B$ with $deg(A)=deg(B)=d$ we subtract

$$-\delta_2\left\{\frac{A}{B}\right\}=A\wedge B+B\wedge(B-A)-A\wedge(B-A).$$

After that the only monomials of degree d will be of the form $f_P\wedge C$, where f_P is the uniformizer in a point P and C will be irreducible polynomial of degree less than d. For each point P the sum of the monomials, containing f_P will equal to $f_P\wedge\frac{C_1C_2\dots C_n}{D_1D_2\dots D_m}$, with $deg(C_i),deg(D_i)\leq(d-1)$ and such that $\frac{C_1C_2\dots C_n}{D_1D_2\dots D_m}$ is congruent to 1 modulo f_P. It remains to note that $x_{f_P}(a,b)\wedge(1-x_{f_P}(a,b))=f_P\wedge a+f_P\wedge b-f_P\wedge r$ modulo monomials of degree less than d, so the element $f_P\wedge\frac{C_1C_2\dots C_n}{D_1D_2\dots D_m}$ is equal to the sum of elements $\delta_2(\{x_{f_P}\})$ modulo elements of degree less than d. From this lemma follows. □

Next, we deduce a corollary of the lemma. Fix an irreducible monic polynomial f of degree $d\geq 1$. By $F(t)^*_f$ we denote the subgroup of $F(t)^*$, generated by element f and polynomials of degree less than d. By $B_f(F(t))$ we denote the subgroup of $B(F(t))$, consisting of elements with image in $F(t)^*_f\wedge F(t)^*_f$. Note that $B_{d-1}(F(t))\subset B_f(F(t))$.

Corollary 3.2. *$B_f(F(t))$ is generated over $B_{d-1}(F(t))$ by elements of the form $x_f(a,b)$.*

Proof. The statement follows from the proof of lemma 3.1. □

Next, we formulate three main computations that we will prove in the last section of the paper.

Lemma 3.3. *For a monic irreducible polynomial P of degree $d\geq 1$ and arbitrary $G_1,G_2,G_3\in F[t]$ nonzero modulo P the following linear combination of elements in $B_d(F(t))$ lies in $B_{d-1}(F(t))$*

$$\{x_P(G_1,G_2)\}-\{x_P(G_1\cdot G_3,G_2)\}-\{x_P(G_1,G_3)\}+\{x_P(G_1\cdot G_2,G_3)\}\in B_{d-1}(F(t)).$$

Lemma 3.4. *For a monic irreducible P of degree $d\geq 1$, and arbitrary polynomials $F_1,F_2,G_1,G_2\in F[t]$ of degree less than d nonzero modulo P the element below lies in $B_{d-1}(F(t))\otimes_a F(t)^*_{d-1}$*

$$\{x_P(F_1,F_2)\}\otimes x_P(G_1,G_2)+\{x_P(G_1,G_2)\}\otimes x_P(F_1,F_2)\in B_{d-1}(F(t))\otimes_a F(t)^*_{d-1}.$$

For a monic irreducible polynomial P and arbitrary rational function $X\in F(t)$, such that it is integer with respect to a valuation in P denote the residue of X in $F(t)/(P)$ by $\overline{X}$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let P be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree $d\geq 1$. Let R_1, R_2 be arbitrary nonzero elements of $F(P)$. Define recursively $R_{i+1}=\frac{1-R_i}{R_{i+1}}$. Note that $R_{i+5}=R_i$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=5}\{\overline{R_i}\}\otimes P+\sum_{i=1}^{i=5}\left\{\frac{\overline{R_{i-1}}\,\overline{R_{i+1}}}{\overline{1-R_i}}\right\}\otimes\overline{R_i}\in B_{d-1}(F(t))\otimes_a F(t)^*_{d-1}.$$

4 Local part of the proof of theorem 2.3.

In this section we begin to prove theorem 2.3. Consider group $\bigwedge F(t)^*$. Let's denote by L_d its subgroup, generated by monomials of degree less or equal than d. Obviously, $\bigwedge F(t)^* = \bigcup L_d$ and $\bigwedge F^* = L_0$. We additionally put $L_{-1} = 0$.

By L_d^1 we denote a subgroup of L_d, generated by monomials with not more than one term of degree exactly d. Since we are working with vector spaces over $\mathbb{Q}$,

$$\bigwedge F(t)^* = \bigcup_{d=0}^{\infty} \left(L_d/L_d^1 \oplus L_d^1/L_{d-1} \right).$$

It is not hard to find free basis for vector spaces L_d/L_d^1 and L_d^1/L_{d-1}. The first one is generated by monomials $f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge ... \wedge f_k$ with irreducible f_i such that $f_1 \succ f_2 \succ \ldots \succ f_k$ and $deg(f_1) = deg(f_2) = d$. The second one — by monomials $f \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_k$ with irreducible f and u_i such that $deg(f) = d$, $deg(u_i) < d$ and $u_1 \succ u_2 \succ \ldots \succ u_k$. Consider the following map:

$$\partial_d^1 : L_d \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{deg(P)=d} \bigwedge F(P)^*.$$

Obviously, it vanishes on L_{d-1}. Denote it's kernel by X_d and the kernel of its restriction to L_d^1 by X_d^1. Group L_d^1/L_{d-1} and X_d^1/L_{d-1} are direct sums of there subgroups, generated by monomials with the only term of degree d coinciding with uniformizer f_P for some point P of degree d. We denote the summands L_P and X_P respectively. So we have the following decompositions:

$$L_d^1/L_{d-1} = \bigoplus_{deg(P)=d} L_P/L_{d-1}, \quad X_d^1/L_{d-1} = \bigoplus_{deg(P)=d} X_P/L_{d-1}.$$

Next, we introduce similar filtration on the group $B(F(t)) \otimes_a \bigwedge F(t)^*$. We put

$$M_d := B_d \otimes_a L_d, \quad M_P := B_P \otimes_a L_P. \quad M_d^1 := \sum_{deg(P)=d} M_P.$$

Looking at the image of δ one can deduce the following direct sum decomposition:

$$M_d^1/M_{d-1} := \bigoplus_{deg(P)=d} M_P/M_{d-1}.$$

Obviously, the following map vanishes on M_{d-1}:

$$\partial_d^2 : M_d \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{deg(P)=d} B(F(P)) \otimes_a \bigwedge F(P)^*.$$

Denote its kernel by Y_d, kernel of its restriction to M_d^1 by Y_d^1 and on M_P by Y_P. Now we have introduced all the notation to formulate the proposition, which is the main goal of this section:

Proposition 4.1. *The map δ is an isomorphism between Y_d^1/M_{d-1} and X_d^1/L_{d-1}.*

Because of the direct sum decompositions

$$X^1_d/L_{d-1} = \bigoplus_{deg(P)=d} X_P/L_{d-1}, \quad Y^1_d/M_{d-1} = \bigoplus_{deg(P)=d} Y_P/M_{d-1},$$

it is enough to prove that the map δ induces isomorphism between X_P/L_{d-1} and Y_P/M_{d-1}. These groups can be put in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_P/M_{d-1} & \longrightarrow & M_P/M_{d-1} & \longrightarrow & B(F(P)) \otimes_a \bigwedge F(P)^* & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \delta & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X_P/L_{d-1} & \longrightarrow & L_P/L_{d-1} & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge F(P)^* & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

To show that X_P/L_{d-1} and Y_P/M_{d-1} are isomorphic we first find generators and relations for X_P/L_{d-1}, and then the set of generators of Y_P/M_{d-1}, whose image coincides with the generators of X_P/L_{d-1}. We finish the proof of the proposition, showing that generators of Y_P/M_{d-1} satisfy the same relations, as generators of X_P/L_{d-1}.

It is not hard to see that elements

$$x_P(a,b) \wedge f \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_n,$$

such that $a, b \in F(P)$ and $deg(u_i) < d$, lie in X_P/L_{d-1}. Indeed, $\partial_P(x_P(a,b) \wedge f \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_k) = -\overline{x_P(a,b)} \wedge \overline{u_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \overline{u_k}$, which vanishes in the group $\bigwedge k(P)^*$, since $x_P(a,b) = \dfrac{\overline{a}\,\overline{b}}{\overline{ab}} \cong_f 1$. It is also not hard to find some relations that they satisfy. To begin with, these elements are symmetric in first two variables, multilinear and antisymmetric in the last n. Next, if $deg(a) + deg(b) < d$, then they vanish. The last two relations are a bit more interesting. From the cocycle equation $x_P(a,b)x_P(ab,c) = x_P(a,c)x_P(ac,b)$ it follows that

$$x_P(a,b) \wedge f \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_n + x_P(ab,c) \wedge f \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_n -$$

$$x_P(a,c) \wedge f \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_n - x_P(ac,b) \wedge f \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_n = 0.$$

The most involved one recovers the symmetry between first variable and the others:

$$x_P(a,b) \wedge f \wedge \overline{c} \wedge u_2 \ldots \wedge u_n + x_P(a,b) \wedge f \wedge \overline{d} \wedge u_2 \ldots \wedge u_n -$$

$$-x_P(a,b) \wedge f \wedge \overline{cd} \wedge u_2 \ldots \wedge u_n + x_P(c,d) \wedge f \wedge \overline{a} \wedge u_2 \ldots \wedge u_n -$$
$$x_P(c,d) \wedge f \wedge \overline{b} \wedge u_2 \ldots \wedge u_n + x_P(c,d) \wedge f \wedge \overline{ab} \wedge u_2 \ldots \wedge u_n = 0.$$

We want to prove that these elements indeed generate X_P/L_{d-1} and that all relations between them follow from those described above. It will be a corollary of the following two lemmas.

Suppose that $d > 0$. We remind that $F_{d-1}(t)^*$ is the subgroup of $F(t)^*$, generated by irreducible polynomials of degree less than d. We introduce specialization map $s_P \colon F_{d-1}(t)^* \longrightarrow F(P)^*$ in the usual way:

$$s_P(x) = \partial_P(f \wedge x).$$

Lemma 4.2. *The kernel of the map* $F_{d-1}(t)^* \xrightarrow{s_P} F(P)^*$ *is generated by symbols* $y(a,b)$ *for* $a, b \in F(P)^*$, *satisfying the following three relations:*

$$y(a,b) = 0 \text{ if } deg(\overline{a}) + deg(\overline{b}) < d,$$

$$y(a,b) = y(b,a),$$

$$y(a,b) + y(ab,c) - y(a,c) - y(ac,b) = 0.$$

Image of $y(a,b)$ *in* $F_{d-1}(t)^*$ *is* $\overline{a} + \overline{b} - \overline{ab}$.

Proof. Let A be an arbitrary uniquely divisible abelian group. Consider the last three terms of the Bar complex for A with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$:

$$\mathbb{Q}[A] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[A] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[A] \xrightarrow{d_3} \mathbb{Q}[A] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[A] \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathbb{Q}[A]/\mathbb{Q}.$$

It is known that

$$\frac{Ker(d_2)}{Im(d_3)} \cong H_2(A, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigwedge^2 A, \quad \frac{\mathbb{Q}[A]}{< Im(d_3), \mathbb{Q} >} \cong H_1(A, \mathbb{Q}) \cong A.$$

From this it follows that the sequence below is exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^2 A \hookrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Q}[A] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[A]}{Im(d_3)} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Q}[A]}{\mathbb{Q}[1]} \twoheadrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0.$$

In this sequence the first map sends $a \wedge b$ to $a \otimes b - b \otimes a$.

Now, let $A = F(P)^*$. Then, $F_{d-1}(t)^* \cong \mathbb{Q}[A]/I$, where I is a subgroup, generated by elements $[a] + [b] - [ab]$ with $deg(a) + deg(b) < d$. From the exact sequence above it follows that

$$Ker(s_P) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[F(P)^*] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[F(P)^*]}{< Im(d_3), Im(\bigwedge^2 F(P)^*), I >}.$$

This is nothing but the statement of the lemma. □

Next we need an additional linear algebra lemma:

Lemma 4.3. *Let* A, B *and* C *be three vector spaces over* $\mathbb{Q}$, *such that*

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{p} C \twoheadrightarrow 0.$$

Then, the following sequence is exact:

$$A \otimes A \otimes \bigwedge B \xrightarrow{q} A \otimes \bigwedge B \xrightarrow{i \otimes id} \bigwedge B \xrightarrow{\bigwedge p} \bigwedge C \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here the map q *is defined on generators by the formula*

$$q(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b) = a_1 \otimes i(a_2) \wedge b + a_2 \otimes i(a_1) \wedge b.$$

Proof. Let X be a complex $A \xrightarrow{i} B$. By Kunneth's theorem, complex $Tot(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X)$ will have only top homology group, isomorphic to $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} C$. Symmetric group S_n acts on $Tot(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X)$ by permuting summands and multiplying on sign. Since S_n is finite, functor of taking coinvariants of S_n is exact. The desired exact sequence is nothing but the truncated complex

$$Tot(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X)^{S_n} \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{n} C.$$

□

Using this lemma we can find generators and relations for X_P/L_{d-1}.

Corollary 4.4. *The group X_P/L_{d-1} is a free group on generators $T_f(a, b, u_1, ..., u_n)$ for $a, b \in L$ and monic irreducible polynomials u_i of degrees less than d, factorized over the following four relations:*

(**R1**) $T_f(a, b, u_1, \ldots, u_n) = 0$, *if* $deg(\overline{a}) + deg(\overline{b}) < d$,

(**R2**) $T_f(a, b, u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ *is symmetric in the first two variables and antisymmetric in the last n.*

(**R3**) $T_f(a, b, u_1, \ldots, u_n) + T_f(ab, c, u_1, \ldots, u_n) -$

$-T_f(a, c, u_1, \ldots, u_n) - T_f(ac, b, u_1, \ldots, u_n) = 0$

(**R4**) $T_f(a, b, c, u_2, \ldots, u_n) + T_f(a, b, d, u_2, \ldots, u_n) -$

$-T_f(a, b, \overline{cd}, u_2, \ldots, u_n) + T_f(c, d, a, u_2, \ldots, u_n) +$

$+T_f(c, d, b, u_2, \ldots, u_n)) - T_f(c, d, \overline{ab}, u_2, \ldots, u_n) = 0.$

The image of $T_f(a, b, u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ in X_P/L_{d-1} equals to

$x_f(a, b) \wedge f \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_n$.

Proof. The group X_P/L_{d-1} is isomorphic to the kernel of the map

$$f \wedge \bigwedge k_{d-1}(t) \longrightarrow \bigwedge F(P)^*,$$

so the presentation by generators and relations follows from lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. □

For simplicity we will identify symbols T_f with their image in the group X_P/L_{d-1}. Our next step is to find elements in the group Y_P/M_{d-1}, whose image under δ equals to $T_f(a, b, u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ and prove that they generate Y_P/M_{d-1}. But this is not hard: it is enough to take elements

$$\{x_f(a, b)\} \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_n.$$

We finish this section with the proof of 4.1:

Proof. Let's denote elements $\{x_f(a,b)\} \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_n$ by $\widehat{T}_f(a,b,u_1,\ldots,u_n)$. Suppose that $\overline{a}\overline{b} = fq + \overline{ab}$. Then,

$$\delta\left(\widehat{T}_f(a,b,u_1,\ldots,u_n)\right) = \delta\left(\widehat{T}_f(\{x_f(a,b)\} \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_n)\right) =$$

$$= x_f(a,b) \wedge f \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_n + x_f(a,b) \wedge \frac{q}{\overline{\overline{ab}}} \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_n.$$

Since the second summand vanishes in X_P/L_{d-1}, we have shown that $\delta(\widehat{T}_f(a,b,u_1,\ldots,u_n)) = T_f(a,b,u_1,\ldots,u_n)$.

It remains to prove that elements $\widehat{T}_f$ generate Y_P/M_{d-1}. We denote by K the group, generated by these elements. Obviously, $K \subset Y_P/M_{d-1}$. To prove the opposite inclusion we construct a map $r\colon B(F(P)) \otimes_a \bigwedge F(P)^* \longrightarrow M_P/\left(M_{d-1}+K\right)$, inverse to ∂_P. It is defined by the following formula:

$$r(\{w\} \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_n) = \{\overline{w}\} \otimes \overline{v_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \overline{v_n} \wedge f_P.$$

At first, we need to show that it is defined correctly, that it satisfies the five-term relation in the first variable and is linear in other variables. Linearity is easier: since

$$\{a\} \otimes b \otimes (1-b) = \{b\} \otimes a \otimes (1-a),$$

$$\{\overline{w}\} \otimes \overline{v_1} \wedge f + \{\overline{w}\} \otimes \overline{v_2} \wedge f - \{\overline{w}\} \otimes \overline{v_1 v_2} \wedge f = \{\overline{w}\} \otimes \frac{\overline{v_1}\,\overline{v_2}}{\overline{v_1 v_2}} \wedge f =$$

$$= \{\overline{w}\} \otimes x_f(v_1,v_2) \wedge (1 - x_f(v_1,v_2)) = \{x_f(v_1,v_2)\} \otimes \overline{w} \wedge (1-\overline{w}) \in K.$$

The five-term relation follows from lemma 7.4.

Since the composition $\partial_P \circ r$ trivially equals to the identity, it only remains to prove that $r \circ \partial_P$ equals to the identity, which can be shown for each generator of M_P separately. By corollary 3.2, we need to show it only for two types of elements:

$\left\{\dfrac{g_1}{g_2}\right\} \wedge f \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_n$ with $deg(g_1) = deg(g_2), deg(u_i) < d$ and

$\{x_g(a,b)\} \wedge f \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_n$ with $deg(g), deg(u_i) < d$.

For both we apply the five-term relation in the same way. We check the claim only for the first type of elements. If $\dfrac{g_1}{g_2} \equiv h$,

$$\left\{\frac{g_1}{g_2}\right\} \wedge f - r(\{h\}) = \left\{\frac{g_1}{g_2}\right\} \wedge f - \{h\} \wedge f =$$

$$= \left\{\frac{g_1}{g_2\, h}\right\} \wedge f - \left\{\frac{g_2 - g_1}{g_2(1-h)}\right\} \wedge f + \left\{\frac{(g_2-g_1)h}{g_1(1-h)}\right\} \wedge f.$$

It is easy to see that all three terms are in K. After similar check for the second type of the elements above we conclude that $\widehat{T}_f$ generate Y_P/M_{d-1}. This finishes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

5 Global part of the proof of theorem 2.3.

The goal of this section is the following proposition:

Proposition 5.1. *The map δ is an isomorphism between M_d/M_d^1 and L_d/L_d^1.*

Proof. To show the claim we introduce additional increasing filtrations M_d^k and L_d^k. The group L_d^k is generated by monomials $f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge f_s \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_t$, for $s \leq k$, monic irreducible f_i of degree d and u_j of degree less than d. Monomials with $f_1 \succ \ldots \succ f_s \succ \ldots \succ u_1 \succ \ldots \succ u_t$ form a basis. M_d^k is a subgroup of M_d, generated by monomials with image in L_d^k. The proposition will follow from the next two lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. *M_d^2/M_d^1 is isomorphic to L_d^2/L_d^1.*

Proof. By lemma 3.1 the group M_d^2/M_d^1 is generated by elements of three types:

$$\{x_f(a,b)\} \otimes g \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_l,$$

$$\{u\} \otimes f \wedge g \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_l,$$

and

$$\left\{\frac{g}{f}\right\} \otimes u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_l.$$

Here we suppose that f, g are monic irreducible of degree d, u_j and u have degree less than d and $f \succ g \succ u \succ u_1 \succ \ldots \succ u_l$. To prove the lemma we need to show that M_d^2/M_d^1 is generated by elements of the third type only. Indeed,

$$\delta\left(\left\{\frac{g}{f}\right\} \otimes u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_l\right) = \frac{g}{f} \wedge \left(1 - \frac{g}{f}\right) \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_l) = f \wedge g \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_l,$$

so these elements are mapped to the basis of L_d^2/L_d^1. For elements of the second type the result follows from the identity

$$\{u\} \otimes f \wedge g = -\{u\} \otimes \frac{f}{g} \wedge \frac{g-f}{g} = \left\{\frac{f}{g}\right\} \otimes (1-u) \wedge u.$$

So, it remains to prove the claim for elements of the first type. For this, let's denote by p residue of $x_f(a,b)$ modulo g. By the five-term relation

$$\{x_f(a,b)\} \otimes g = p \otimes g - \left\{\frac{ab}{rp}\right\} \otimes g + \left\{\frac{fq}{r(1-p)}\right\} \otimes g - \left\{\frac{fqr}{ab(1-r)}\right\} \otimes g.$$

We claim that each of these summands lies in the subgroup, generated by $\left\{\frac{f}{g}\right\} \otimes L_{d-1}$ and M_d^1. For the first summand this is obvious. The proof for the other three summands is similar, we will show it for $\left\{\frac{ab}{rp}\right\} \otimes g$.

Let $rp - ab = gs$, where degree of s is less than d. We have

$$\left\{\frac{ab}{rp}\right\} \otimes g = \left\{\frac{rp-ab}{rp}\right\} \otimes g = \left\{\frac{gs}{rp}\right\} \otimes g = -\left\{\frac{gs}{rp}\right\} \otimes \frac{s}{rp}.$$

By lemma 3.1, $\left\{\frac{gs}{rp}\right\} - \left\{\frac{f}{g}\right\} \in M_d^1$, from which the claim follows. □

Lemma 5.3. *M_d^k/M_d^{k-1} is isomorphic to L_d^k/L_d^{k-1} for $k > 2$.*

Proof. Using the arguments from the proof of the previous lemma, we see that M_d^k/M_d^{k-1} is generated by elements of the form

$$\left\{\frac{g}{f}\right\} \otimes h \wedge f_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge f_s \wedge u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge u_l.$$

We may suppose that $h \succ f_1 \succ f_2 \succ \ldots \succ f_s \succ u_1 \succ \ldots \succ u_l$. To prove the claim it remains to show that we can interchange f, g and h modulo M_d^{k-1} in arbitrary way. Interchanging f, g is not a problem. We claim that $\left\{\frac{f}{g}\right\} \otimes h - \left\{\frac{f}{h}\right\} \otimes g \in M_d^{k-1}$. Indeed, let p be a polynomial of degree less than d, such that $h|(f - pg)$, $pg + hq = f$. Then

$$\left\{\frac{f}{g}\right\} \otimes h = p \otimes h - \left\{\frac{f}{gp}\right\} \otimes h + \left\{\frac{g-f}{g(1-p)}\right\} \otimes h - \left\{\frac{(g-f)p}{f(1-p)}\right\} \otimes h =$$

$$= \left\{\frac{f}{gp}\right\} \otimes g - \left\{\frac{g-f}{g(1-p)}\right\} \otimes g + \left\{\frac{(g-f)p}{f(1-p)}\right\} \otimes f = -\left\{\frac{f}{g}\right\} \otimes g + \left\{\frac{(g-f)p}{f(1-p)}\right\} \otimes \frac{f}{g} =$$

$$= \left\{\frac{(g-f)p}{f(1-p)}\right\} \otimes g = \left\{\frac{f}{h}\right\} \otimes g.$$

□

Putting these two lemmas together we get the proposition.

□

The third proposition we need for the homotopy invariance theorem follows from the proof of the Milnor's theorem.

Proposition 5.4. *Let z be an element of M_{d+1}, such that $\delta(z) \in L_d$. Then, there exists an element $z_1 \in M_d$ such that $\delta(z) = \delta(z_1)$.*

Proof. We will show that

$$L_d/(L_{d-1} + M_d) \cong \bigoplus_{deg(P)=d} K^M(F(P)).$$

From this the result will follow, since $\delta(z)$ vanishes in $K^M(F(x))$, so do all its residues. To prove the isomorphism, we construct the inverse map to the residue map $\oplus\partial_P$. For each P this map is defined by the formula $s_P(u_1 \wedge ... \wedge u_k) = f_P \wedge \overline{u_1} \wedge ... \wedge \overline{u_k}$. Simple check shows that it is linear and satisfies Steinberg relation. To show that it is, indeed, inverse, note that by proposition 5.1 $L_d/(L_{d-1} + M_d) \cong L^1_d/(L_{d-1} + M_d)$ and that the composition is, obviously, trivial on L^1_d. □

6 The end of the proof of theorem 2.3.

In this section we finish the proof of the theorem 2.3.

Proof. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (B(F(t)) \otimes_{alt} \bigwedge F(t)^*) \,/\, (B(F) \otimes_{alt} \bigwedge F^*) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \bigwedge F(t)^* / \bigwedge F^* \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \oplus\partial^2_P} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \oplus\partial^1_P} \\ \bigoplus_{P\neq\infty} B(F(P)) \otimes_{alt} \bigwedge F(P)^* & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \bigoplus_{P\neq\infty} \bigwedge F(P)^* \end{array}$$

To prove that $\oplus\partial^2_P$ is quasi-isomorphism we need to show that $\oplus\partial^2_P$ is an isomorphism between the kernels of the horizontal maps.

First, we show that it is injective. For this, take x such that $\oplus\partial^2_P(x) = 0$ and $\delta(x) = 0$. Let's take the minimal d such that $x \in M_d$. By proposition 5.1, $x \in M^1_d$. So, $x \in Y^1_d$. After that, applying proposition 4.1 we get that $x \in M_{d-1}$, which contradicts minimality of d.

To show surjectivity, we can take arbitrary point P and element $y \in B_2(F(P)) \otimes_{alt} \bigwedge F(P)^*$ such that $\delta(y) = 0$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_P/M_{d-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & L_P/L_{d-1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \partial^2_P} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \partial^1_P} \\ B(F(P)) \otimes_{alt} \bigwedge F(P)^* & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \bigwedge F(P)^* \end{array}$$

Obviously, ∂^2_P and ∂^1_P are surjective, so from proposition 4.1 its raws are quasi-isomorphic. So, there exist $z \in M_P$ with $\delta(z) \in L_{d-1}$ and $\partial^2_P(z) = y$. Application of the proposition 5.4 finishes the proof. □

7 Proofs of the lemmas

Lemma 7.1. *For a monic irreducible polynomial P of degree $d \geq 1$ and arbitrary $G_1, G_2, G_3 \in F[t]$ nonzero modulo P the following linear combination of elements in $B_d(F(t))$ lies in $B_{d-1}(F(t))$*

$$\{x_P(G_1, G_2)\} - \{x_P(G_1 \cdot G_3, G_2)\} - \{x_P(G_1, G_3)\} + \{x_P(G_1 \cdot G_2, G_3)\} \in B_{d-1}(F(t)).$$

Proof. We can suppose that $G_1, G_2, G_3 \in F[t]$ and $deg(G_1), deg(G_2), deg(G_3) \leq d-1$. Let's apply division algorithm and find $Q_{1,2}, R_{1,2}, Q_{1,3}, R_{1,3}, Q_{12,3}, R_{12,3}, Q_{13,2}, R_{13,2} \in F[t]$ with $deg(R_{1,2}), deg(R_{1,3}), deg(R_{12,3}), deg(R_{13,2}) \leq d-1$ such that

$$G_1 \cdot G_2 = Q_{1,2} \cdot P + R_{1,2}, \quad G_1 \cdot G_3 = Q_{1,3} \cdot P + R_{1,3},$$

$$R_{1,2} \cdot G_3 = Q_{12,3} \cdot P + R_{12,3}, \quad R_{1,3} \cdot G_2 = G \cdot P + R_{13,2}.$$

Obviously, $deg(Q_{1,2}) = deg(G_1 \cdot G_2 - R_{1,2}) - deg(P) \leq d-1$. Similarly, $deg(Q_{1,3}), deg(Q_{12,3}), deg(Q_{13,2}) \leq d-1$. Computing $G_1 \cdot G_2 \cdot G_3$ in two ways we get that $(Q_{1,2}P + R_{1,2}) \cdot G_3 = (Q_{1,2}G_3 + Q_{12,3}) \cdot P + R_{12,3}$ and $(Q_{1,3}P + R_{1,3}) \cdot G_2 = (Q_{1,3}G_2 + Q_{13,2}) \cdot P + R_{13,2}$, so $R_{12,3} = R_{13,2}$.

Next, we compute in two ways $R_{1,3} \cdot G_1 \cdot G_2$ and get that $(Q_{1,2}P + R_{1,2})R_{1,3} = G_1 \cdot (Q_{13,2}P + R_{13,2})$, so $(Q_{1,2}R_{1,3} - G_1Q_{13,2}) \cdot P = G_1R_{13,2} - R_{1,2}R_{1,3}$. So, $deg(Q_{1,2}R_{1,3} - G_1G) \leq d-1$,

We need three more computations: $Q_{1,2}R_{13,2} - R_{1,2}Q_{13,2} = G_2 \cdot (Q_{1,2}R_{1,3} - G_1Q_{13,2})$, $R_{1,2}Q_{1,3} - Q_{12,3}G_1 = Q_{1,2}R_{1,3} - G_1Q_{13,2}$, $Q_{1,3}R_{12,3} - R_{1,3}Q_{12,3} = G_3 \cdot (R_{1,2}Q_{1,3} - Q_{12,3}G_1)$. We apply five-term relationship twice:

$$\left\{\frac{G_1G_2}{R_{1,2}}\right\} - \left\{\frac{R_{1,3}G_2}{R_{13,2}}\right\} = -\left\{\frac{R_{1,3}R_{1,2}}{G_1R_{13,2}}\right\} + \left\{\frac{R_{1,3} \cdot (G_1G_2 - R_{1,2})}{G_1(R_{1,3}G_2 - R_{13,2})}\right\} - \left\{\frac{(R_{1,2} - G_1G_2)R_{13,2}}{R_{1,2} \cdot (R_{13,2} - R_{1,3}G_2)}\right\},$$

$$\left\{\frac{R_{1,2}G_3}{R_{12,3}}\right\} - \left\{\frac{G_1G_3}{R_{1,3}}\right\} = \left\{\frac{R_{1,3}R_{1,2}}{G_1R_{12,3}}\right\} - \left\{\frac{R_{1,2} \cdot (G_1G_3 - R_{1,3})}{G_1 \cdot (R_{1,2}G_3 - R_{12,3})}\right\} + \left\{\frac{(R_{1,3} - G_1G_3)R_{12,3}}{R_{1,3} \cdot (R_{12,3} - R_{1,2}G_3)}\right\}.$$

Adding this two expressions, we get that

$$\{x_P(G_1, G_2)\} - \{x_P(G_1 \cdot G_3, G_2)\} - \{x_P(G_1, G_3)\} + \{x_P(G_1 \cdot G_2, G_3)\} =$$

$$= \left\{\frac{Q_{1,2}R_{1,3}}{Q_{13,2}G_1}\right\} - \left\{\frac{Q_{1,2}R_{13,2}}{R_{1,2}Q_{13,2}}\right\} + \left\{\frac{Q_{1,3}R_{12,3}}{R_{1,3}Q_{12,3}}\right\} - \left\{\frac{Q_{1,3}R_{1,2}}{G_1Q_{12,3}}\right\}.$$

All four terms are elements of $[B(F(t))]_{d-1}$. Let's check it, for example, for $\left\{\frac{Q_{1,2}R_{1,3}}{Q_{13,2}G_1}\right\}$. Indeed, from the computations above we know that $deg(Q_{1,2}), deg(R_{1,3}), deg(Q_{13,2}), deg(G_1), deg(Q_{1,2}R_{1,3} - Q_{13,2}G_1) \leq d-1$.

□

Lemma 7.2. *For a monic irreducible P of degree $d \geq 1$, and arbitrary polynomials $F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 \in F[t]$ of degree less than d nonzero modulo P the element below lies in $B_{d-1}(F(t)) \otimes_a F(t)^*_{d-1}$*

$$\{x_P(F_1, F_2)\} \otimes x_P(G_1, G_2) + \{x_P(G_1, G_2)\} \otimes x_P(F_1, F_2) \in B_{d-1}(F(t)) \otimes_a F(t)^*_{d-1}.$$

Proof. Throughout the prove we work with elements in $B_P(F(t)) \otimes_a F(t)^*_P$ modulo $B_{d-1}(F(t)) \otimes_a F(t)^*_{d-1}$. Let's introduce notation:

$$S[F_1, F_2; G_1, G_2] = \left\{\frac{\overline{F_1} \cdot \overline{F_2}}{\overline{F_1F_2}}\right\} \otimes \frac{\overline{G_1} \cdot \overline{G_2}}{\overline{G_1G_2}} + \left\{\frac{\overline{G_1} \cdot \overline{G_2}}{\overline{G_1G_2}}\right\} \otimes \frac{\overline{F_1} \cdot \overline{F_2}}{\overline{F_1F_2}}.$$

Obviously, this expression is symmetric in the first two and the last two variables. We divide the prove into several steps.

STEP 1 From the five-term relation it follows that for arbitrary $a, b \neq 0, 1$

$$\{a\} \otimes b + \{b\} \otimes a = \left(\left\{ \frac{1-a}{1-b} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{(1-a)b}{(1-b)a} \right\} \right) \otimes \frac{a}{b}.$$

From this it follows that the expression $\{a\} \otimes b + \{b\} \otimes a$ vanishes (modulo $B_{d-1}(F(t)) \otimes_a F(t)^*_{d-1}$) if a, b lie in $F(t)^*_{d-1}$ and $1-a, 1-b, a-b$ are product of P with elements of $F(t)^*_{d-1}$.

STEP 2 If $F_1 = G_1$ or $F_1 F_2 \equiv G_1 G_2$, then $S[F_1, F_2; G_1, G_2] = 0$.

Taking $a = \dfrac{\overline{F_1} \cdot \overline{F_2}}{\overline{F_1 F_2}}, b = \dfrac{\overline{G_1} \cdot \overline{G_2}}{\overline{G_1 G_2}}$ and applying Step 1 finishes the proof.

STEP 3 $S[F_1, F_2; G_1, G_2] = S[F_1, F_2; G_1 \cdot F_1, G_2]$.

By lemma 3.3

$$\left\{ \frac{\overline{F_1} \cdot \overline{G_1}}{\overline{F_1 G_1}} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{\overline{F_1 G_1} \cdot \overline{G_2}}{\overline{F_1 G_1 G_2}} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{\overline{G_1} \cdot \overline{G_2}}{\overline{G_1 G_2}} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{\overline{G_1 G_2} \cdot \overline{F_1}}{\overline{F_1 G_1 G_2}} \right\} = 0.$$

Obviously,

$$\left\{ \frac{\overline{F_1} \cdot \overline{F_2}}{\overline{F_1 F_2}} \right\} \otimes \left(\frac{\overline{F_1} \cdot \overline{G_1}}{\overline{F_1 G_1}} \cdot \frac{\overline{F_1 G_1} \cdot \overline{G_2}}{\overline{F_1 G_1 G_2}} \right) = \left\{ \frac{\overline{F_1} \cdot \overline{F_2}}{\overline{F_1 F_2}} \right\} \otimes \left(\frac{\overline{G_1} \cdot \overline{G_2}}{\overline{G_1 G_2}} \cdot \frac{\overline{G_1 G_2} \cdot \overline{F_1}}{\overline{F_1 G_1 G_2}} \right).$$

Summing this two expressions we get that

$$S[F_1, G_1; F_1, F_2] + S[F_1 G_1, G_2; F_1, F_2] = S[G_1, G_2; F_1, F_2] + S[G_1 G_2, F_1; F_1, F_2].$$

By Step 2 we know that $S[F_1, G_1; F_1, F_2]$ and $S[G_1 G_2, F_1; F_1, F_2]$ vanish. From this the result follows.

STEP 4 $S[F_1, F_2; G_1, G_2] = -S\left[F_1, G_2; G_1, \dfrac{F_2}{G_1} \right]$.

Applying the five-term relation with $a = \dfrac{F_1 \cdot F_2}{\overline{F_1 \cdot F_2}}$ and $b = \dfrac{F_1 \cdot G_2}{\overline{F_1 \cdot G_2}}$ and tensoring with $\dfrac{G_1 \cdot \left(\overline{\dfrac{F_1 F_2}{G_1}} \right)}{\overline{F_1 F_2}}$ one gets that

$$\left\{ \frac{F_1 \cdot F_2}{\overline{F_1 \cdot F_2}} \right\} \otimes \frac{G_1 \cdot \left(\overline{\dfrac{F_1 F_2}{G_1}} \right)}{\overline{F_1 F_2}} - \left\{ \frac{F_1 \cdot G_2}{\overline{F_1 \cdot G_2}} \right\} \otimes \frac{G_1 \cdot \left(\overline{\dfrac{F_1 F_2}{G_1}} \right)}{\overline{F_1 F_2}} =$$

$$= - \left\{ \frac{F_2 \cdot \overline{F_1 \cdot G_2}}{\overline{\overline{F_1 \cdot F_2} \cdot G_2}} \right\} \otimes \frac{G_1 \cdot \left(\overline{\dfrac{F_1 F_2}{G_1}} \right)}{\overline{F_1 F_2}}.$$

The same expression tensored with $\dfrac{G_1 \cdot G_2}{\overline{G_1 G_2}}$ gives

$$\left\{\frac{F_1\cdot F_2}{\overline{\overline{F_1\cdot F_2}}}\right\}\otimes\frac{G_1\cdot G_2}{\overline{G_1G_2}}-\left\{\frac{F_1\cdot G_2}{\overline{\overline{F_1\cdot G_2}}}\right\}\otimes\frac{G_1\cdot G_2}{\overline{G_1G_2}}=-\left\{\frac{F_2\cdot\overline{F_1\cdot G_2}}{\overline{\overline{F_1\cdot F_2}\cdot G_2}}\right\}\otimes\frac{G_1\cdot G_2}{\overline{G_1G_2}}.$$

Applying the five-term relation with $a=\dfrac{G_1\cdot\left(\overline{\dfrac{F_1F_2}{G_1}}\right)}{\overline{F_1F_2}}$ and $b=\dfrac{G_1\cdot G_2}{\overline{G_1\cdot G_2}}$ and tensoring with $\dfrac{F_1\cdot F_2}{\overline{F_1F_2}}$ one gets that

$$\left\{\frac{G_1\cdot\left(\overline{\dfrac{F_1F_2}{G_1}}\right)}{\overline{F_1F_2}}\right\}\otimes\frac{F_1\cdot F_2}{\overline{F_1F_2}}-\left\{\frac{G_1\cdot G_2}{\overline{G_1\cdot G_2}}\right\}\otimes\frac{F_1\cdot F_2}{\overline{F_1F_2}}=-\left\{\frac{\overline{G_1G_2}\cdot\left(\overline{\dfrac{F_1F_2}{G_1}}\right)}{\overline{F_1F_2}\cdot G_2}\right\}\otimes\frac{F_1\cdot F_2}{\overline{F_1F_2}}.$$

The same expression tensored with $\dfrac{F_1\cdot G_2}{\overline{F_1G_2}}$ gives

$$\left\{\frac{G_1\cdot\left(\overline{\dfrac{F_1F_2}{G_1}}\right)}{\overline{F_1F_2}}\right\}\otimes\frac{F_1\cdot G_2}{\overline{F_1G_2}}-\left\{\frac{G_1\cdot G_2}{\overline{G_1\cdot G_2}}\right\}\otimes\frac{F_1\cdot G_2}{\overline{F_1G_2}}=-\left\{\frac{\overline{G_1G_2}\cdot\left(\overline{\dfrac{F_1F_2}{G_1}}\right)}{\overline{F_1F_2}\cdot G_2}\right\}\otimes\frac{F_1\cdot G_2}{\overline{F_1G_2}}.$$

The sum of the right parts of the four expressions above vanishes by Step 1 with $a=\dfrac{F_2\cdot\overline{F_1\cdot G_2}}{\overline{\overline{F_1\cdot F_2}\cdot G_2}}$ and $b=\dfrac{\overline{G_1G_2}\cdot\left(\overline{\dfrac{F_1F_2}{G_1}}\right)}{\overline{F_1F_2}\cdot G_2}$. From this we get that

$$S\left[F_1,F_2;G_1,\frac{F_1F_2}{G_1}\right]-S\left[F_1,G_2;G_1,\frac{F_1F_2}{G_1}\right]-S[F_1,F_2;G_1,G_2]+S[F_1,G_2;G_1,G_2]=0.$$

By Step 2 we know that $S\left[F_1,F_2;G_1,\dfrac{F_1F_2}{G_1}\right]$ and $S[F_1,G_2;G_1,G_2]$ vanish. By Step 3 $S\left[F_1,G_2;G_1,\dfrac{F_1F_2}{G_1}\right]=S\left[F_1,G_2;G_1,\dfrac{F_2}{G_1}\right]$. From this the result follows.

STEP 5 $2\cdot S[F_1,F_2;G_1,G_2]=0$.

$S[F_1,F_2;G_1,G_2]=\Xi[F_1,F_2G_1;G_1,G_2]=-\Xi[F_1,G_2;G_1,F_2]$, so

$S[F_1,F_2;G_1,G_2]=-S[F_1,G_2;G_1,F_2]=S[F_1,G_1;G_2,F_2]=-S[F_1,F_2;G_1,G_2]$. □

Lemma 7.3 (Polynomial Thue's lemma)**.** *For arbitrary $R\in F(P)$ the following holds:*

if $d=2k+1$, there exist $A,B\in F[t]$ such that $R=\frac{A}{B}\in F[t]$ and $deg(A),deg(B)\le F$.

if $d = 2k$, there exist $A, B \in F[t]$ such that $R = \frac{A}{B} \in F[t]$ and $deg(A) \leq k-1$, $deg(B) \leq k$

Proof. For $R = 0$ the statement holds for trivial reasons. Assume that R is invertible. We prove the statement for $d = 2k$, the second case is similar. $k(P)$ is a vector space over k of degree d with bases $1, t, t^2, ..., t^{d-1}$. Denote by $F(P)_s$ a linear subspace with bases $1, t, t^2, ..., t^s$ of dimension $s+1$. Multiplication by R is an invertible linear operator on F(P), we denote in by M_P. With this notation the lemma can be stated as follows:

$$M_P\left(F(P)_{k-1}\right) \cap F(P)_k \neq \emptyset.$$

Since M_P is invertible, $dim\left(M_P\left(F(P)_{k-1}\right)\right) = dim\left(F(P)_{k-1}\right) = k$, So,

$$dim\left(M_P\left(F(P)_{k-1}\right)\right) + dim\left(F(P)_k\right) = 2k+1 > dim(F(P)).$$

From this the statement follows. □

For a monic irreducible polynomial P and arbitrary rational function $X \in F(t)$, such that it is integer with respect to a valuation in P denote the residue of X in $F(t)/(P)$ by $\overline{X}$.

Lemma 7.4. *Let P be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$. Let R_1, R_2 be arbitrary nonzero elements of $F(P)$. Define recursively $R_{i+1} = \dfrac{1-R_i}{R_{i+1}}$. Note that $R_{i+5} = R_i$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=5} \left\{\overline{R_i}\right\} \otimes P + \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} \left\{ \frac{\overline{R_{i-1}}\,\overline{R_{i+1}}}{\overline{1-R_i}} \right\} \otimes \overline{R_i} \in B_{d-1}(F(t)) \otimes_a F(t)^*_{d-1}.$$

Proof. We start with a remark. Denote by H a projection from the group $F(t)^* \wedge F(t)^*$ to $B(F(t))/B_{d-1}(F(t))$, sending elements of $F(t)^*_P \wedge F(t)^*_P$ to $B_P(F(t))/B_{d-1}(F(t))$ and $F(t)^*_{d-1} \wedge F(t)^*_{d-1}$ to 0. Existence of such a map follows from lemma 3.1. The main its property, important for us, will be the following: for $X \in F(t)_{d-1}$ such that $\nu_P(1-X) > 0$ and $\dfrac{1-X}{P} \in F(t)_{d-1}$, $\quad H(X) = \{X\}$. Particularly,

$$H(F_1, P) + H(F_2, P) - H(\overline{F_1F_2}, P) = H\left(\frac{F_1 \cdot F_2}{\overline{F_1F_2}}, P\right) = \{x_P(F_1, F_2)\}.$$

Using this definition, one can restate lemma 7.2 as follows:

$$H\left(\frac{F_1 \cdot F_2}{\overline{F_1F_2}}, P\right) \otimes \frac{G_1 \cdot G_2}{\overline{G_1G_2}} = -H\left(\frac{G_1 \cdot G_2}{\overline{G_1G_2}}, P\right) \otimes \frac{F_1 \cdot F_2}{\overline{F_1F_2}}.$$

Suppose $deg(P) = d = 2k+1$, the even case is similar. Using Polynomial Thue's lemma we can find $X_1 = \frac{A_1}{B_1}$ and $X_2 = \frac{A_2}{B_2}$ with $deg(A_1), deg(A_2), deg(B_1), deg(B_2) \leq k$ such that $X_1 \equiv R_1$ and $X_2 \equiv R_2$. Define recursively $X_{i+1} = \frac{1-X_i}{X_{i+1}}$. This gives

$X_1 = \frac{A_1}{B_1}; 1 - X_1 = \frac{B_1 - A_1}{B_1}$,

$X_2 = \frac{A_2}{B_2}; 1 - X_2 = \frac{B_2 - A_2}{B_2}$,

$X_3 = \frac{B_1(B_2 - A_2)}{A_1 B_2}; 1 - X_3 = \frac{A_1 B_2 - B_1 B_2 + B_1 A_2}{A_1 B_2}$,

$X_4 = \frac{A_1 B_2 - B_1 B_2 + B_1 A_2}{A_1 A_2}; 1 - X_4 = \frac{(B_1 - A_1)(B_2 - A_2)}{A_1 A_2}$,

$X_5 = \frac{B_2(B_1 - A_1)}{A_2 B_1}; 1 - X_5 = \frac{A_2 B_1 - B_2 B_1 + B_2 A_1}{A_2 B_1}$.

One can see that for arbitrary i $\;X_i, 1 - X_i \in F(t)_{d-1}$. For simplicity we write R_i instead of $\overline{R_i}$. Let $X_i = PQ_i + R_i$. Since each X_i is a ratio of two polynomials of degree less than d and R_i has degree less than d, Q_i is a ratio of two polynomials of degree less than d. Below all the computations are performed modulo $B_{d-1}(F(t)) \otimes_a F(t)^*_{d-1}$. From the five-term relation it follows that

$$\{X_i\} \otimes P - \{R_i\} \otimes P = -\left\{\frac{R_i}{X_i}\right\} \otimes P + \left\{\frac{1 - R_i}{1 - X_i}\right\} \otimes P - \left\{\frac{(1 - R_i)X_i}{R_i(1 - X_i)}\right\} \otimes P =$$

$$= -H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, \frac{Q_i P}{X_i}\right) \otimes P + H\left(\frac{1 - R_i}{1 - X_i}, \frac{Q_i P}{1 - X_i}\right) \otimes P - H\left(\frac{(1 - R_i)X_i}{R_i(1 - X_i)}, \frac{Q_i P}{R_i(1 - X_i)}\right) \otimes P =$$

$$= H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, \frac{Q_i P}{X_i}\right) \otimes \frac{Q_i}{X_i} - H\left(\frac{1 - R_i}{1 - X_i}, \frac{Q_i P}{1 - X_i}\right) \otimes \frac{Q_i}{1 - X_i} + H\left(\frac{(1 - R_i)X_i}{R_i(1 - X_i)}, \frac{Q_i P}{R_i(1 - X_i)}\right) \otimes \frac{Q_i}{R_i(1 - X_i)} =$$

$$= H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes \frac{Q_i}{X_i} - H\left(\frac{1 - R_i}{1 - X_i}, P\right) \otimes \frac{Q_i}{1 - X_i} + H\left(\frac{(1 - R_i)X_i}{R_i(1 - X_i)}, P\right) \otimes \frac{Q_i}{R_i(1 - X_i)} =$$

$$= H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes Q_i - H\left(\frac{1 - R_i}{1 - X_i}, P\right) \otimes Q_i + H\left(\frac{(1 - R_i)X_i}{R_i(1 - X_i)}, P\right) \otimes Q_i - H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes X_i +$$

$$+ H\left(\frac{1 - R_i}{1 - X_i}, P\right) \otimes (1 - X_i) - H\left(\frac{(1 - R_i)X_i}{R_i(1 - X_i)}, P\right) \otimes R_i(1 - X_i) = (*).$$

Since H is bilinear,

$$H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes Q_i - H\left(\frac{1 - R_i}{1 - X_i}, P\right) \otimes Q_i + H\left(\frac{(1 - R_i)X_i}{R_i(1 - X_i)}, P\right) \otimes Q_i = 0.$$

Since $H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, \frac{PQ_i}{X_i}\right) \otimes \frac{R_i}{X_i} = 0$ we get that $H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes X_i = H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes R_i$.

So, (*)=

$$= -H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes R_i + H\left(\frac{1-R_i}{1-X_i}, P\right) \otimes (1-X_i) - H\left(\frac{(1-R_i)X_i}{R_i(1-X_i)}, P\right) \otimes R_i(1-X_i) =$$

$$= H\left(1-X_i, P\right) \otimes R_i - H\left(1-R_i, P\right) \otimes R_i + H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes (1-X_i).$$

Since $X_{i+1} = \dfrac{1-X_i}{X_{i-1}}$, by the five-term relation $\sum_{i=1}^{i=5} \{X_i\} = 0$.

Summing over all i we get

$-\sum_{i=1}^{i=5} \{R_i\} \otimes P =$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(1-X_i, P\right) \otimes R_i - \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(1-R_i, P\right) \otimes R_i + \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes (1-X_i) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(X_{i-1}X_{i+1}, P\right) \otimes R_i - \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(1-R_i, P\right) \otimes R_i + \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes X_{i-1}X_{i+1} =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(X_{i-1}, P\right) \otimes R_i + \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(X_{i+1}, P\right) \otimes R_i - \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(1-R_i, P\right) \otimes R_i +$$

$+\sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes X_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes X_{i+1} =$

$= \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(X_{i-1}, P\right) \otimes R_i + \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(X_i, P\right) \otimes R_{i-1} -$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(1-R_i, P\right) \otimes R_i + \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes X_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(\frac{R_{i-1}}{X_{i-1}}, P\right) \otimes X_i =$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(\frac{R_{i-1}}{X_{i-1}}, P\right) \otimes \frac{R_i}{X_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes \frac{R_{i-1}}{X_{i-1}}$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(1-R_i, P\right) \otimes R_i + \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(R_{i-1}, P\right) \otimes R_i + \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(R_i, P\right) \otimes R_{i-1}.$$

By Lemma, for any i

$$H\left(\frac{R_{i-1}}{X_{i-1}}, P\right) \otimes \frac{R_i}{X_i} + H\left(\frac{R_i}{X_i}, P\right) \otimes \frac{R_{i-1}}{X_{i-1}} = 0.$$

From this we finally get

$-\sum_{i=1}^{i=5}\{R_i\}\otimes P =$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(1-R_i,P\right)\otimes R_i+\sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(R_{i-1},P\right)\otimes R_i+\sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(R_i,P\right)\otimes R_{i-1}=$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(1-R_i,P\right)\otimes R_i+\sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(R_{i-1},P\right)\otimes R_i+\sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(R_{i+1},P\right)\otimes R_i=$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{i=5} H\left(\frac{R_{i-1}R_{i+1}}{1-R_i},P\right)\otimes R_i=\sum_{i=1}^{i=5}\left\{\frac{R_{i-1}R_{i+1}}{1-R_i}\right\}\otimes R_i.$$

□

References

[1] Bloch, S. *Algebraic cycles and higher K-theory.* Adv. in Math. 61 (1986), no. 3, 267304.

[2] Beilinson A.A., Deligne P, *Interpretation motivique de la conjecture de Zagier.* Symp. in Pure Math., v. 55, part 2, (1994).

[3] Dupont J., Sah C.-H, *Scissors congruences II.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra, v. 25, (1982), 159195.

[4] Goncharov, A. B. *Geometry of configurations, polylogarithms and motivic cohomology.* Adv. Math., 114 (1995), pp. 197318.

[5] Goncharov, A. B. *Polylogarithms, regulators and Arakelov motivic complexes.* ArXiv:math/0207036 [math.NT].

[6] Mazza, C., Voevodsky, V. and Weibel, C. *Lecture Notes on motivic cohomology.* Clay Mathematics Monographs, 2006.

[7] Suslin, A. A. . K_3 *of a field, and the Bloch group.* Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov (in Russian) (1990), 180199.